

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/609,267	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MOSIER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> QING-YUAN WU	<b>Art Unit</b> 2194	

**All Participants:**

(1) QING-YUAN WU.

(2) David Sakata.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 July 2009

**Time:** 2PM EST

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

1-54, 62-70 and 72

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*General discussion of current claimed invention and invention as disclosed in applicant's specification. The Examiner provided suggestions to better capture applicant's actual invention as disclosed in the specification.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)